



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/719,409

11/22/2003

Frederick Curtis Furtek

NVDA/P002849

3361

26290 7590 12/08/2008
PATTERSON & SHERIDAN, L.L.P.
3040 POST OAK BOULEVARD
SUITE 1500
HOUSTON, TX 77056

EXAMINER

SUN, SCOTT C

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2182

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

12/08/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/719,409	Applicant(s) FURTEK ET AL.	
	Examiner SCOTT SUN	Art Unit 2182	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 October 2008.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1 and 5-21 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1 and 5-21 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>11/21/08</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments, see applicant's remarks, filed 10/30/2008, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1, 5-21 under 35 U.S.C. 103 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. Examiner notes that applicant's petition for an earlier date of priority has been granted. Consequently, one or more references used in the prior rejection can no longer be applied. Therefore the rejections are withdrawn. However, upon further search and consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made, as attached below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claim 1, 6, 8, 10, 12, 15-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wolrich (previously cited) in view of Nishihara (Pub #2001/0010074, hereinafter Nishihara)

4. Regarding claim 1, Wolrich discloses a reconfigurable IOC (system shown in figure 1, details shown in figure 3) comprising at least one input (input into translation unit 30) coupled to an interconnection network (various connections shown in figure 3) for receiving a point-to-point transfer instruction (read or write operation) for an internal

Art Unit: 2182

device (CPU 20); and at least one output (output from translation unit) for providing a translated point-to-point transfer instruction to an external device (devices connected to FBUS including Octal MAC 13a and Ethernet 13b; column 5, line 42-52).

Wolrich does not disclose explicitly the IOC is coupled via an interconnection network to a plurality of nodes in an adaptive computing engine, wherein the coupling includes an interconnection network. However, Nishihara discloses an adaptive computing engine (figure 19) including an IOC (IO device 8A) coupled to a plurality of nodes (logic circuit cells 6A), wherein the coupling includes an interconnection network (mutual wiring interconnection 7A between the multiple logic circuit cells as shown in figure 19, paragraph 7). Teachings of Wolrich and Nishihara are from the same field of processors, and specifically data transferring using processors.

Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time of invention to combine teachings of Nishihara and Wolrich by implementing the system of Wolrich using adaptive computing engine for the benefit of increased flexibility such as allowing circuits to be modified after production (paragraph 4).

5. Regarding claim 6, Nishihara and Wolrich combined disclose claim 1, and Wolrich further discloses wherein a translated point-to-point transfer instruction provides translation of an address from the adaptive computing engine to the external device (column 5, line 42-52).

6. Regarding claim 8, Nishihara and Wolrich combined disclose claim 1, and Nishihara further discloses memory random access circuitry (configuration memory 5, paragraph 9) for the benefit of storing data on the ACE.

Art Unit: 2182

7. Regarding claim 10, Nishihara and Wolrich combined disclose claim 1, and Nishihara further discloses a real time input circuitry (a circuitry of ACE, paragraph 26).

8. Regarding claim 12, Nishihara and Wolrich combined disclose claim 1, and Nishihara further disclose a physical link adaptor connected to an input of the configurable IOC (paragraph 4). Examiner notes that Nishihara teaches ACE is a processor system used for processing and transferring data inside a larger system (integrated circuit) containing other components. A physical link (data interface) would be needed to exchange data between the ACE system and the external devices.

9. Regarding claim 15, Nishihara and Wolrich combined disclose claim 1, and Nishihara further discloses wherein the interconnection network enables communication among a plurality of nodes and interfaces to reconfigure the ACE for a variety of tasks (paragraph 9).

10. Regarding claim 16, Nishihara and Wolrich combined disclose claim 1, and Nishihara further discloses wherein the IOC runs at the interconnect network clock rate. Examiner notes that because the ACE is used to implement the logic of the IOC, then the nodes will run at a synchronized clock rate (See for example timing diagram in figure 5)

11. Regarding claim 17, Nishihara and Wolrich combined disclose claim 1, and Nishihara further discloses wherein the external devices include at least one ACE and at least one system on a chip (paragraph 189). Examiner notes that Nishihara discloses that reconfigurable circuitry is used in a larger system, consisting other circuits as shown in figure 9.

Art Unit: 2182

12. Regarding claim 18, Nishihara and Wolrich combined disclose claim 1, and Nishihara further discloses wherein the IOC includes status lines to the SOC, the SOC being responsive to the status lines to prioritize multiple external devices (using circuit information CD1, CD2, etc... from various processing circuits C1, C2, etc... paragraph 192).

13. Regarding claim 19, Nishihara and Wolrich combined disclose claim 1, and Wolrich further discloses wherein the translation is of a port identified into an SOC address (column 5, lines 53-56).

14. Regarding claim 20, Nishihara and Wolrich combined disclose claim 1, and Wolrich further discloses wherein the external device includes at least one of a host computer and a central processing unit (computers connected to the Ethernet or MAC interfaces).

15. Regarding claim 21, Nishihara and Wolrich combined disclose claim 17, and Nishihara further discloses wherein the SOC includes a device chosen from a group comprising an ACE, storage system, a network access system, and a digital signal processor (other components connected to the programmable logic device in figure 9)

16. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wolrich in view of Nishihara and further in view of Shukla (previously cited).

17. Wolrich and Nishihara combined disclose claim 1, but does not disclose explicitly translation of a port number. However, Shukla teaches translation of a port number

Art Unit: 2182

(paragraph 52). Teachings of Wolrich, Nishihara, and Shukla are from the same field of data transfer processing.

Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time of invention to combine teachings of Wolrich and Nishihara and further with teachings of Shukla by translating the port number to allow transferring data to different LANs such as those connected to the Octal MAC 13a or Ethernet 13b (paragraph 52).

18. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wolrich in view of Nishihara and further in view of Warren (previously cited).

19. Regarding claim 7, Wolrich and Nishihara combined disclose claim 1, but do not disclose explicitly peek/poke service circuitry. However, Warren discloses peek/poke service circuitry (peek and poke; column 12, lines 37-45). Teachings of Wolrich, Nishihara, and Warren are from the same field of processors, and specifically of data transfer processing.

Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time of invention for a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine teachings of Wolrich and Nishihara and further with teachings of Warren by adding peek/poke circuitry in the combined system of Wolrich and Nishihara to read and write to memory contents.

20. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wolrich in view of Nishihara and further in view of Kean (US Patent #5,469,003).

Art Unit: 2182

21. Regarding claim 9, Nishihara and Wolrich combined disclose claim 1, but do not disclose explicitly using direct memory access circuitry. However, Kean discloses direct access circuitry used with reconfigurable circuits (column 2, lines 43-47). Teachings of Wolrich, Nishihara, and Kean are from the same field of processors, and specifically configurable processors.

Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time of invention for a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine teachings of Wolrich with Nishihara and further with Kean by using direct memory access circuitry for the benefit of offloading data transfer operations from the main processor as support chips.

22. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wolrich in view of Nishihara and further in view of Pham et al (previously cited).

23. Wolrich and Nishihara combined do not disclose explicitly a status line. However, Pham discloses a status line (grant and status signals, figure 11) coupled to an external device (other processors) for indicating an availability of services (paragraph 64). Teachings of Wolrich, Nishihara, and Pham are from the same field of processors, and specifically of data transfer processing.

Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time of invention for a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to combine teachings of Nishihara, Wolrich, and further with teachings of Pham by adding status lines into the combined system of Nishihara and Wolrich for the benefit of loading balancing (paragraph 64).

Art Unit: 2182

24. Claims 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wolrich in view of Nishihara and further in view of Schunk et al (previously cited).

25. Regarding claim 13, Wolrich and Nishihara combined disclose claim 1, but do not disclose explicitly a plurality of different physical connectors coupled to the coupling circuitry. However, Schunk discloses a plurality of different physical connectors (column 8, lines 5-16). Teachings of Wolrich, Nishihara, and Schunk are from the same field of processors and specifically of data transfer processing.

Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time of invention for a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine teachings of Wolrich, Nishihara, and Schunk by adding multiple connectors in the combined system of Wolrich and Nishihara for the benefit of failure recovery (Schunk, column 8, lines 5-16). Examiner notes that coupling circuitry is any data carrier (data bus) between the physical link and the connectors.

26. Regarding claim 14, Wolrich, Nishihara, and Schunk combined disclose claim 13, and Schunk further discloses a reconfigurable finite-state machine (automatic protection switching hardware) for controlling the coupling circuitry to selectively connect a signal from a physical connector (column 8, lines 5-16).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SCOTT SUN whose telephone number is (571)272-2675. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu, 10:00am-8pm.

Art Unit: 2182

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tariq Hafiz can be reached on (571) 272-6729. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

SS

/Ilwoo Park/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2182
December 5, 2008